

W  
p  
H  
p  
ca  
a  
ta  
w  
as  
A  
ta  
co  
re  
cu  
fa  
th

T  
su  
in

a  
8  
8  
a  
c  
n  
e  
n  
t  
t  
c  
c  
b  
c  
s  
(  
p  
l  
n  
v  
c  
u  
a  
li  
o  
fa  
Z  
te  
fi  
an  
in  
fi  
an  
de  
19  
qu  
be  
m  
vé  
co  
m  
an  
no

balizable  
 compone  
 with a d  
 balizable  
 results in  
 encoding  
 1977; Tu

The sugg  
 between  
 ing and t  
 that face  
 although  
 to be the  
 possible  
 one notio  
 for subse  
 recogniti  
 faces in  
 their perf  
 were able

Accord  
 the impe  
 ognition  
 this pred  
 of verbal  
 Schooler  
 Ryan, &  
 overshadow  
 facts of v  
 trial effe  
 result of  
 balization  
 might lea

---

<sup>1</sup>There  
 over trials.  
 another, th  
 (describing  
 description)

#### 4. THE COSTS

Due to the eliminated the replicated the was both reli possible bour in which we ingly, if subje sented target If re-presenta sophisticated tation should re-presentatic upright condi of the inverted

The observ in the manne interpret the e the verbal ov have been at reducing the c and verbaliza verbalization tation could h re-presentatic balized the f subjects to ex

FIG. 4.2  
inverted

Al  
this  
rever  
forw  
that  
shad  
of ve  
phas  
sized  
help  
that  
whe  
in w

**The  
and**

In th  
verb  
not  
with  
even  
verb  
the f  
in th  
verb  
faces  
(198  
a tai  
subj  
later  
terfe  
posu  
Davi  
been  
to w  
with

In  
insu  
exar  
word  
terve  
char

#### 4. THE CO

(and land  
interferen  
due to the  
for visual  
observed  
encoding  
scapes an  
possibly s  
et al., 198

It may  
semantic  
from othe  
faces may  
may disru  
but on the  
to withsta

In sum, th  
On the do  
componen  
of face rec  
the time c  
encoding  
able confi  
verbalized  
of subject

On the  
to during  
presentati  
actually re  
improve s  
tween enc  
between  
subjects v  
positive e  
effects of  
to better c

The po  
First, it see  
the appear

but not wine  
verbal wine  
overshadow

There ap  
tion influen  
verbal over  
advice about  
typically in  
needed be  
applicable t  
well on the  
in determin  
consequen

The resear  
author from  
to the third  
Fiore for h

Bartlett, J. C.,  
25, 281-31  
Berry, D. C. (1  
lished doc  
Brandimonte,  
*through v*  
Carey, S., & I  
*Science, 1*  
Chamey, D. F.  
form and

<sup>2</sup>Interestin  
showed no eff  
of wine novic  
Schooler's (19  
where subject  
memory for s  
and Schooler'  
but not low p  
the effects of  
exceed what

4. THE CO

Ryan, R. S.,  
*holistic*  
of the A  
Schooler, J.  
*of visua*  
Atlanta,  
Schooler, J.  
Some th  
Schooler, J.  
R. A. Fi  
Schooler, J.  
oversha  
Schooler, J.  
*entation*  
Internat  
Schooler, J.  
analyzi  
*Psychol*  
Sergent, J.  
precept  
Sieck, W. G.  
honors  
Slamecka, I  
*of Expe*  
Tanaka, J.  
*Psychol*  
Tulving, E.,  
memory  
Wells, G. L.  
*& Cogn*  
Westerman  
present  
Wilson, T.  
Introspe  
*Psychol*  
Wilson, T. J.  
of pref  
Young, A. V.  
*Percept*  
Yu, C. J., &  
identific