Supporting Text

Supporting Analysis: The role of adaptation

In the main experiment, if adaptation occurs and both target and tones are effectively at a lower level, then there should still be trading between tones and vowel; it should just warp the trading between the effective attenuation in the tones and vowel. This is not what is observed.

Specifically, under the assumption that there is significant adaptation, the "effective attenuation of the target in the vowel" should be relative to the unadapted target level (as the comparison is of the spectral shape, and presumably the vowel components, with 240 ms of silence between them, show little or no adaptation). In contrast, the "effective attenuation of the target in the tones" should be relative to the target level after adaptation (as the comparison is to the other tones, which will show adaptation as well).

Then, if there is adaptation, and the trading hypothesis holds:

$$1 = 10^{\frac{-Att_{tones}}{10}} + 10^{\frac{Att_{adaptation}}{10}} 10^{\frac{-Att_{vowel}}{10}}$$

where

 $Att_{adaptation}$ is the effective attenuation of the target (in dB) due to adaptation

 Att_{tones} is the target attenuation in the tones (in dB) due to trading with vowel

 Att_{tones} is the target attenuation in the vowel (in dB) due to trading with tones

This leads to a different form of trading relationship, which depends on the effective amount of the target attenuation due to adaptation, in dB. Figure 3 shows these trading contours for different assumed levels of adaptation (in 3 dB steps).

The figure shows that the "orphan" does not fall on the same contour as the other data. While the three other points fall between the "adaptation trade" contours for 3 and 6 dB of adaptation, the orphan falls on the 9 dB adaptation curve.

Thus, while adaptation may contribute to the "energy loss" we find, it cannot explain the orphan case. Quantitatively, while adaptation may contribute to the observed results, it cannot account fully for the perceptual loss of the *target* when the spatial cues of the *target* match those of the *vowel* and differ from those of the *tones*.

Supplemental Experiment

As in the main experiment, stimuli in the supplemental experiment consist of two objects (here, the simultaneous complex and the complex stream Tcbk difetween I, with the complex of two objects (here, the simultaneous complex and the complex stream Tcbk difetween I, with the complex of two objects (here, the simultaneous complex and the complex stream Tcbk difetween I, with the complex of two objects (here, the simultaneous complex and the complex stream Tcbk difetween I, with the complex of two objects (here, the simultaneous complex and the complex of two objects).

target and the complex stream either came from in front or to the side of the listener.

Figure 4B shows the mean attenuations of the *target* (averaged across subjects) that produce perceptual matches to the *simultaneous* complex and complex stream spectral content, plotted against each other in a format comparable to that of Fig. 3. As in the main experiment, changes in the spatial configuration of the sound elements alter the perceived spectral content of the objects. When the spatial cues of the target and complex stream match and the target and simultaneous complex do not match (Fig. 4B, filled triangle), the target contributes a great deal to the complex stream, but contributes little to the simultaneous complex. When the target location matches both the location of the simultaneous complex and of the complex stream, the target contributes strongly to the simultaneous complex and weakly to the *complex stream* (Fig. 4B, filled circle). When the *target* location matches neither simultaneous complex nor complex stream locations, its contribution to the simultaneous complex increases and its contribution to the complex stream decreases (Fig. 4B, open triangle). Finally, when the target location matches that of the simultaneous stream and does not match that of the complex stream, it contributes almost nothing to the perceived content of the complex stream, but contributes significantly to the perceived content of the simultaneous stream (Fig. 4B, open circle).

These results differ from those of the main experiment in a number of ways. First, in this experiment, results closely follow predictions based on an energy-trading

harmonically related components. Because both the *target* and *complex stream* are multi-tone harmonic complexes, rather than a single-frequency tone (see Fig. 4A), the balance between sequential and simultaneous grouping cues shifts compared to in the main experiment. This leads to stronger simultaneous grouping cues here than in the main experiment, and results in the elimination of the non-allocation effect.

Supporting Methods

Stimuli

Stimuli consisted of a 3-s long sequence, composed of ten identical repetitions of three 100-ms-long elements: two harmonic complexes of fundamental frequency 300 Hz (*complex stream*) followed by a *simultaneous complex* with fundamental frequency 200 Hz (see Fig. 4A). The *target* was a harmonic complex identical to those making up the *complex stream*, but presented simultaneously with the *simultaneous complex*. The *target* and *complex stream* bursts consisted of harmonics at 300, 600, 900, 1200, and 1500 Hz. The *simultaneous complex* consisted of harmonics 200, 400, 800, 1000, 1400, and 1600 Hz. The *complex stream, target*, and *simultaneous complex* all were gated with a Blackman window (60-ms duration) and were separated in time by a silent gap of 40 ms. The sequence of repeating *complex stream* and *simultaneous complex* caused a percept of two distinct auditory objects (a rapidly repeating complex with a pitch of 300 Hz and a complex repeating at one third that rate).

This stimulus design caused a distinct change in both the spectral density and the perceived pitch of the *simultaneous complex* depending on whether or not the *target* was heard as part of the *simultaneous complex*. When the *target* was heard in the *simultaneous complex*, listeners perceived a complex with a dense spectral composition and a pitch of 100 Hz (corresponding to the missing fundamental). When the *target* was not heard as part of the *simultaneous complex*, its perceived pitch was an octave higher (200 Hz) and it had a more sparse spectral density. Spatial cues for the *target*, *simultaneous complex*, and *complex stream* were generated using the same head-related transfer functions as in the main experiment.

Procedures

As in the main experiment, two-object stimuli were presented in two blocks of trials differing only in the instructions to the subjects. In *complex stream* blocks, subjects matched the perceived content of the *complex stream*. In *simultaneous complex* blocks, subjects matched the perceived content of the *simultaneous complex*. Trials for each condition were presented in a different random order for each block of trials.

In both kinds of blocks, listeners used the method of adjustment to match the

level *complex stream* or a fixed-level *simultaneous complex*. During presentation of the matching stimulus, subjects could adjust (in real time) the attenuation of the *target* by pressing one button to increase the *target* attenuation and a different button to decrease the *target* attenuation. Three-second-long test and matching stimuli alternated until the subject was satisfied with the perceptual match between the perceived content of the attended object in the two-object test stimulus and the content of that object in the single-object matching stimulus. When the subject was satisfied with the match, she/he pressed a third button, which stored the results of that trial and initiated the next trial in the block. Ten subjects participated in the supplemental experiment.



