

0196/0202/2019/XXXX-00/0 Ear & Hearing Copyright, 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the... (The following text is severely distorted and largely illegible due to a scanning artifact.)

could be directly measured. To do so, listener's perception of a word containing a meaningful command is evaluated when presented together with a competing speech masker of the same form as the target (using the CRM corpus; Bolia et al. 2000). To evaluate the deleterious effect of IM on performance, Brungart estimated the specific contribution of EM by comparing results to those using a speech-shaped noise

IM in children aged 4 to 16 years than in adults (Wightman & Kitter 2005). This difference might stem from immature stream segregation mechanisms, which have been shown to develop over time (Sussman et al. 2007). Given the crucial role of attention on streaming of a di-orthogonal object (Wood & McDermott 2015), and the existing evidence that speed and efficiency of attention allocation develop by the age of 12 years (Gomez et al. 2007), further research is needed to explore developmental effects of a di-orthogonal attention and stream segregation on speech-on-speech performance in children, and their interpretation in adults.

In the case of older adults, cognitive impairment might account for a significant proportion of the speech-on-speech difference (Füllgrabe et al. 2015). Considering this possibility, specific differences in di-orthogonal masking IM compared to di-orthogonal masking EM/MM have been reported in normal-hearing older adults (Schoof & Roen 2014). This may be related to older adults' reduced lexical inhibition

- R Core Team (2016). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R version 3.3.0. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: www.R-project.org
- Robert, C., & Mathes, S. (2007). Aging and lexical inhibition: the effect of orthographic neighborhood frequency on older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*, 62, P340-P342.
- Rozen, S. (1992). Temporal information in speech: Acoustic, auditory and linguistic aspects. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 336, 367-373.
- Rozen, S., Sober, P., Ekelund, C., et al. (2013). Listening to speech in a background of a hearer: Effect of talker number and noise coding. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 133, 2431-2443.
- Rothgatter, E. H., Chapman, N. D., Guttman, N., et al. (1969). IEEE recommended practice for speech quality measurement. *IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust.* 17, 225-246.
- Ruggie, D., Bharadwaj, H., Shinn-Cunningham, (2011). Normal hearing is not enough to guarantee robust encoding of speech hold features important in everyday communication. *PNAS*, 103, 15516-15521.
- Schoof, T., Rozen, S. (2014). The role of auditory and cognitive factors in understanding speech in noise: normal-hearing older listeners. *Front Aging Neurosci*, 6, 307.
- Schubert, W., Brand, T., Kollmeier, B., et al. (2016). Monaural speech intelligibility and detection in masker interference of periodic temporal speech features. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 140, 524.
- Shamma, S. A., Elhilali, M., Micheyl, C. (2011). Temporal coherence and attention in auditory scene analysis. *Trends Neurosci*, 34, 114-123.
- Shinn-Cunningham, B. G. (2008). Object-based auditory and visual attention. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 12, 182-186.
- Simpson, S. A., & Cooke, M. (2005). Consonant identification in natural babble: a nonmonotonic function of N. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 118, 2775-2778.
- Sinclair, K., & Rozen, S. (2015). The role of periodicity in perceiving speech in quiet and in background noise. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 138, 3586-3599.
- Sone, M. A., Flgrabe, C., Moore, B. C. (2012). Naturally lead background noise as a primary parameter of speech. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 132, 317-326.
- Sone, M. A., & Moore, B. C. (2014). On the near non-existence of perceptually energetic masking release for speech. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 135, 1967-1977.
- Souman, E., Wong, R., Horst, J., et al. (2007). The development of the perceptual organization of sound frequency separation in 5-11-year-old children. *Hearing Research*, 225, 117-127.
- Wightman, F. L., & Kitterle, D. J. (2005). Informational masking of speech in children: effects of ipsilateral and contralateral distracter. *J Acoust Soc Am*, 118, 3164-3176.
- Wood, K., & McDermott, J. (2015). Attention tracking of sound source. *Current Biology*, 25, 1-9.